

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NALANA OMEGA,

No. 2:22-cv-0178-KJM-EFB (HC)

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

MICHAEL PALLARES,

Respondent.

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in this action for habeas brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. She requests an extension of time to respond to the pending motion to dismiss. ECF Nos. 15 & 17. She also requests that the court appoint counsel. ECF No. 15.

There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See *Nevius v. Sumner*, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appoint counsel at any stage of the proceedings “if the interests of justice so require.” 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; Rule 8(c), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases. The court finds that the interests of justice would not be served by the appointment of counsel at this time. The court will, however, grant petitioner another 30 day extension of time to respond to the motion to dismiss (ECF No. 10).

////

////

1 Accordingly, it is ordered that:

2 1. Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 15) is denied without
3 prejudice.

4 2. Petitioner's request for an extension of time to respond to the motion to dismiss (ECF
5 Nos. 15 & 17) is granted. Within 30 days of the date of this order, petitioner shall file
6 either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition. Failure
7 to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
8 without prejudice.

9 Dated: July 19, 2022.



10 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28